

The Einstein Telescope: a 3rd generation gravitational wave observatory

Michele Punturo

INFN Perugia and EGO On behalf of the ET Design Study Team http://www.et-gw.eu/



Talk Outline

- Introduction to the Gravitational Wave (GW) search
- Gravitational wave detectors
 - Today
 - Immediate future
- 3rd generation of gravitational wave observatories
 The Einstein Telescope
- Conclusions



General Relativity and GW

- GW are predicted by the Einstein General Relativity (GR) theory
 - Formal treatment of the GW in GR is beyond the scope of this talk and only the aspects important for the GW detection will be considered
- Einstein field equation links the source of the space-time deformation ($T_{\mu\nu}$ Energy-impulse tensor)
- Far from the big masses Einstein field equation admits (linear approximation) wave solution (small perturbation of the background geometry)

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{c^4}{8\pi G}G_{\mu\nu}$$

to the effect of the deformation ($G_{\mu\nu}$ the deformation tensor)



$$\mathbf{g} = \eta + \mathbf{h} \text{ with } \left| h_{\mu\nu} \right| \ll 1 \implies \left(\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) h_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

Gravitational Waves

- Gravitational waves are a perturbation of the space-time geometry
- They present two polarizations

 $\mathbf{h}(z,t) = e^{i(\omega t - kz)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_{+} & h_{\times} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{\times} & -h_{+} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

 The effect of GWs on a mass distribution is the modulation of the reciprocal distance of the masses





Let quantify the "deformation" ET

- Should we expect this?
- Coupling constant (fundamental interactions)

strong	e.m.	weak	gravity
0.1	1/137	10 ⁻⁵	10 ⁻³⁹

• Or "space-time" rigidity (Naïf):

$$G_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu} \implies \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} = 4.8 \cdot 10^{42} N$$



$$\sigma_{ij} = C_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl} \implies Y_{Steel} \approx 2 \times 10^{11} Pa$$

 Very energetic phenomena in the Universe could cause only faint deformations of the space-time

Einstein Telescope

Let quantify the "deformation" ET

The amplitude of the space-time deformation is:

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2G}{c^4} \cdot \frac{1}{r} \ddot{Q}_{\mu\nu}$$

Where $Q_{\mu\nu}$ is the quadrupolar moment of the GW source

 Let suppose to have a system of 2 coalescing neutron stars, located in the Virgo cluster (r~10Mpc):

and r is the distance between the detector and the GW source

$$h \approx 10^{-21} - 10^{-22}$$

$$\delta L \approx \frac{h}{2} \cdot L_0 \\ L_0 \approx 10^3 m$$
 $\Rightarrow \delta L \approx 10^{-18} - 10^{-19} m$

Extremely challenging for the detectors



GW detectors: the resonant bars

The epoch of the GW detectors began with the resonant bars



Resonant bar suspended in the middle

Einstein Telescope

 Then a network of cryogenic bars has been developed in the past

ЕΊ

GW interferometric detectors

• Currently, a network of detectors is active in the World







 $10^2 \le L_0 \le 10^4$ m in terrestrial detectors

 We need a "trick" to build ~100km long detectors on the Earth





Effective length:

$$L' = L_0 \times \frac{2F}{\pi}$$

ΕΊ **Detector sensitivity** The faint space-time deformation measurement must compete with a series of noise sources that are spoiling the detector

EINSTEIN



Detector sensitivity The faint space-time deformation measurement must compete with a series of noise sources that are spoiling the detector



Detector sensitivity

The faint space-time deformation measurement must compete with a series of noise sources that are spoiling the detector

EINSTEIN

TELESCOPE

EΤ



Sensitivity: real life



EINSTEIN TELESCOPE

ЕΤ

E GW interferometer past evolution

Evolution of the GW

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 713:671-685, 2010 April 10 c) 2010. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. Printed in the U.S.A. doi:10.1088/0004-637X/713/1/671

SEARCHES FOR GRAVITATIONAL WAVES FROM KNOWN PULSARS WITH SCIE RUN 5 LIGO DATA



GW sources: **BS**

NGC 6744

Sculptor

BH-BH

Groups

Ζ

Virao I

Groups

10

10 million ly

Maffei M81



Binary systems of massive and compact stellar bodies:

- NS-NS, NS-BH, BH-BH
- **1ST GENERATION INTERFEROMETERS COULD DETECT A NS-NS** COALESCENCE





LOW EXPECTED EVENT RATE: 0.01-0.1 ev/yr (NS-NS)

Einstein Telescope

GW sources: isolated NS

 Isolated NS are a possible source of GW if they have a non-null quadrupolar moment (ellipticity)
 Crab pulsar in the Crab



nebula (2kpc) LIGO-S5 upper limit: 6% of the SD limit in

energy

E

Vela pulsar in its nebula (0.3kpc) Spin-down limit to be determined in the Virgo VSR2-VSR3 runs

EINSTEIN

GW interferometer present evolution Evolution of the GW detectors (Virgo example): Test of "advanced" techs UL physics First detection <u>Detection distance (a.u.)</u> ĹΤ.] Advance detectors Proof of the working principle Commissioning Einstein Telescope **Upper Limit physics** enhanced & first runs detectors Infrastructu re realization Same infrastructure and detector assembling year 18 2003 2008 2011 2017

Advanced detectors

Advanced detectors are, for example, promising:

 An increase of the BNS detection distance up to 200 MPc

A BNS detection rate of few tens per year with a limited SNR: detection is assured

 The beating of the spindown limit for many known pulsars

Adv. Virgo/Adv. LIGO



Credit: R.Powell, B.Berger



GW Astronomy

- Current e.m. telescopes are mapping the Universe in all the wavelengths detectable from the Earth and from the space.
- Gravitational wave telescopes, having a comparable sight distance, could complement the e.m. observation opening the GW astrophysics era
- Thanks to the small interaction between graviton and the matter, GW are the best messenger to investigate the first instants of the Universe



Physics Beyond Advanced Detectors

- GW detection is expected to occur in the advanced detectors. The 3rd generation will focus on <u>observational</u> aspects:
- Astrophysics:
 - Measure in great detail the physical parameters of the stellar bodies composing the binary systems
 - NS-NS, NS-BH, BH-BH
 - Constrain the Equation of State of NS through the measurement
 - of the merging phase of BNS
 - of the NS stellar modes
 - of the gravitational continuous wave emitted by a pulsar NS
 - Contribute to solve the GRB enigma
- Relativity
 - Compare the numerical relativity model describing the coalescence of intermediate mass black holes
 - Test General Relativity against other gravitation theories
- Cosmology
 - Measure few cosmological parameters using the GW signal from BNS emitting also an e.m. signal (like GRB)
 - Probe the first instant of the universe and its evolution through the measurement of the GW stochastic background
- Astro-particle:
 - Contribute to the measure the neutrino mass?
 - Constrain the graviton mass measurement



Binary System of massive stars



- Let suppose to gain a factor 10 in sensitivity wrt advanced detectors in a wide frequency range: [~1Hz,10 kHz]
- It will be possible to observe binary systems of massive stars:
 - At cosmological detection distance
 - Frequently, with high SNR





Numerical Relativity probe

- Great recent progresses in the numerical relativity (NR) modeling of the last orbits before the coalescence of two massive objects (BH-BH, NS-NS)
- PN approximation is unable to simulate the very last orbits, because of the huge gravitational fields, the merger and ringdown phases
- A 3rd generation GW observatory can probe the NR predictions (investigating the NS EOS, in case of BNS)

http://numrel.aei.mpg.de



EOS of the NS is still unknown Why it pulses?

- Is it really a NS or the core is made by strange matter?
- Gravitational Wave detection from NS will help to understand the composition of the NS:
 - Trough asteroseismology, revealing the internal modes of the star
 - Trough its continuous wave emission



Einstein Telescope

Isolated NS



Continuous Waves from Isolated NS



Cosmology with 3rd gen.



BNS are "standard sirens" (Schutz 1986) because, the amplitude depends only on the Chirp Mass and Iuminosity distance Through the detection of the BNS gravitational signal, by a network of detectors, it is possible to reconstruct the luminosity distance D₁ A GRB detector could identify the hosting galaxy and then the red-shift z. Knowing D_1 and z it is possible to probe the adopted cosmological model and to constrain the cosmological parameters with limits comparable (i.e.) with Dark Matter missions: $\Omega_{\rm M}$: total mass density

 Ω_{Λ} : Dark energy density H₀: Hubble parameter *w*: Dark energy equation of state 28 parameter

Einstein Telescope

Supernova Explosions



 Shock Revival mechanism(s) after the core bounce TBC



 GWs generated by a SNe should bring information from the inner massive part of the process and could constrains on the core-collapse mechanisms

SNe rates with 3rd Gen

Expected rate for SNe is about 1 evt / 20 years in the detection range

Distance [Mpc]

M31

- of initial to advanced detectors
 - Our galaxy & local group
- To have a decent (0.5 evt/year) event rate about 5 Mpc must be reached
- 3G sensitivity can promise this target

0.01

Milky Way

0.0001

1e-06

1e-08

1e-10

1e-12

1e-14

0.001

 c^{2}

GW energy (solar mass



Sverige The Einstein Telescope

The Einstein Telescope project is currently in its conceptual design study phase, supported by the European Community FP7 with about 3M€ from May 2008 to July 2011.



Participants per NON-Beneficiary

Washington State University University of Southampton University of Minnesota Universiteit Van Amsterdam Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona Università degli Studi di Trento **Tuebingen University** The Royal Observatory Raman research institute Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center Moscow State University MIT LIGO

KFKI Research Institute for Particle and. Hungarian Academy of science Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena **Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron** Dearborn observatory (NorthWestern. Cork University CERN

British Astromomical Association

CALTECH

Targets of the Design Study

- Evaluate the science reaches of ET
- Define the sensitivity and performance requirements
 - Site requirements
 - Infrastructures requirements
 - Fundamental and (main) technical noise requirements
 - Multiplicity requirements
- Draft the observatory specs
 - Site candidates
 - Main infrastructures characteristics
 - Geometries
 - Size, L-Shaped or triangular
 - Topologies
 - Michelson, Sagnac, ...
 - Technologies
- Evaluate the (rough) cost of the <u>infrastructure</u> and of the <u>observatory</u>



2010

2009



How to go beyond the 2nd generation?



Einstein Telescope

EINSTEIN

E



Stressing the current technologies

- Obviously a certain improvement of the sensitivity of the advanced detectors could be achieved by stressing the "current" technologies:
 - High power lasers (1kW laser, shot noise reduction)
 - Larger mirrors and larger beams (lower thermal noise)
 - Better coatings (lower thermal noise, lower scattering)
- But these aren't the key elements justifying:
 - the transition $2^{nd} \Rightarrow 3^{rd}$ generation
 - the need of a new infrastructure

3rd generation Technologies in ET ET

- Injection of squeezed light states (where the phase noise is lowered at the cost of the amplitude noise) permits to reduce HF noise
- Higher order modes (LG33) resonating in the FP cavities permit to reduce the intermediate (thermal) noise effects
- Cryogenic operative temperature (~20K) permits to suppress the thermal noise and improve the low and intermediate frequency sensitivity
 - New materials: Sapphire (LCGT), Silicon
 - New coatings

Neutrino Detector Super Kamiokande

totsu Entran



Access the very low frequency

- The most challenging requirement of a 3rd generation GW observatory is to access, as much as possible, the ~1-10Hz frequency range
- The "enemy" to fight is the seismic noise, that acts on the test masses
 - 1) Indirectly, through the suspension chain
 - 2) Directly, through the so-called gravity gradient noise
- 1 Virgo has implemented a seismic filtering chain
 - The super attenuator (SA)
 - Advanced LIGO will implement an active filtering strategy
 - Are these solutions compliant with the 3G requirements?





Underground site

Virgo SA filtering capabilities are compatible with the ET requirements from 3Hz, provided that x_{seims}< 5×10⁻⁹/f² m/Hz^{1/2}

That is the seismic noise level in the Kamioka LCGT site candidate

We need an underground site: <u>new infrastructure</u>

Measurements in Europe:





EINSTEIN **Gravity Gradient Noise reduction**

 An underground site permits also to suppress the GGN influence



⁴⁰

Site list generation Sverige



Gyöngyösoroszi mine

 Old lead-zinc mine currently being rehabilitated •80 km north east of Budapest Surrounding rock is Andezit and Andezit-tufa Underground depths ranging from 60 - 400 m at an altitude of 400 m •Entrance by train through west entrance by lift at the eastern shaft

www.et-gw.eu/

Olstanbul



Mark Beker







Pictorial view

COMPUTING CENTRE

DETECTOR STATION



Length ~10 km



END STATION

EINSTEIN

LESCOPE

Ë,

.100 m

The infrastructure

Schematic view

- Full infrastructure realized
- Initial detector(s) implementation
 - 1 detector (2 ITF)
 - Physics already possible in coincidence with the improved advanced detectors
- Progressive implementation
 - 2 detector (4 ITF)
 - Redundancy and crosscorrelation
- Full implementation
 - 3 detector (6 ITF)
 - Virtual interferometry
 - 2 polarizations reconstruction

Einstein Telescope Xylophone option (ET-C)

Each detector (red, green and blue) consists of two Michelson interferometers. The HF detectors need one filtercavity each, while the LF detectors require 2 filter cavities each due to the use of detuned signal recycling. Number of 'long' suspensions = 21 (ITM, ETM, SRM, BS, PRM of LF-IFOs) of which 12 are crogenic.

> Number of 'normal' suspensions (PRM, BS, BD and FC) = 45 for linerar filtercavities and 54 for triangular filter cavities

> > Beams per tunnel =7



Grn-LF

Grn-H

ASPERA-SAC, Apr2010

ET Timeline



The t₀ depends by several constrains:

- Readiness of the project (completion of the design studies)
- Detection of GW in Advanced detectors (2017?)
- Formal decisions

•

• We suppose to have a construction $t_0=2018$, having a decision $t_0'=2016-2017$





Conclusions

- ET project is really ambitious
 - Huge European infrastructure
 - Large technological difficulties
 - Very appealing science
- Many steps still in front of us
 - Conceptual design, Technical design, Preparatory phase, …
- Currently ET is in several international roadmaps:
 - GWIC, ASPERA, OECD
 - But the competitors are many and strong

A long exciting research path is in front of us

