Writing the Design Study document: Plan, scope and tasks

T. Dent (Cardiff) ET WG4 meeting, Observatoire Côte d'Azur (Nice) 2nd September 2010



Warning

- This talk will not contain science!
- To get ET funded / built, need to coordinate and present scientific material together
- This is the aim of the Design Study

ET Design Study

- O ET currently funded as a 3-year design study
- O Started in May 2008
- O End product of this phase: Design Study Document
 - Presented to EU to make preliminary case for building ET
 - O Timescale 2015-plus for push towards funding decision
 - O Coincidence with AdvLIGO, AdVirgo operation is not accidental!
- O Document of ca. 400 pages
 - 100p per Working Group: WG 1-4

Elements of DS / funding case

- Technical studies (WG1,2,3)
 - O How and where can ET be built?
 - O How much is it likely to cost?
- O Science case (WG4)
 - What can we study uniquely (better than any other method) with ET?
 - With several ET-class detectors in different sites?
- O Characteristics of ET for 'post-discovery' GW science
 - Large SNR for many astrophysical systems ⇒ large amount of information about source
 - (Very) large number of sources over observing time ⇒ good statistics

WG1-2-3 overview

- O WG1 site choice and infrastructure
 - Realistically: one ET site in Europe (+× polarization)
 - O Infrastructure of 30km long, 50m deep tunnels, access... makes strong demands on the site
- WG2 suspension and cryogenics
 - O Crucial to achieve good low- and mid-frequency sensitivity
- O WG3 optical topology
 - Realistic / possible optical design and noise curves
 - Interact strongly with WG1-2 to produce coherent overall design

ET Science Case (WG4)

- O Identify science topics, observations for ET / 3G
 - 1. Strong-field tests of GR and fundamental physics
 - 2. Astrophysics
 - 3. Cosmology and cosmography
 - 4. Data analysis and computational challenges
- O Studies of expected sensitivity (figure of merit) for each science observable
- O ET Trade Studies: How do different choices of site or optical design affect science goals?
 - Interact with WG3 on optics ⇒ noise curve

Writing the DesignStudyDocument

- O Write review of science results relevant to ET design
- Results obtained by WG4 and ET Science Team, and other studies
- Transverse writing team' was (self-) selected from WG1-4, about 20 people
- o For WG4:
 - O Chris Van Den Broeck
 - O Tania Regimbau
 - O T.D.
 - O Bangalore Sathyaprakash

DSD science case resources

- O Special issue of CQG (2009) on ET science
- O ET Vision Document (2009)
 - O Initial estimates of ET science possibilities and goals
 - Many studies have significantly advanced since VisDoc
- A number of published or submitted scientific papers 2009 10 ...
- O Unpublished work (eg talks) by WG4, Science Team members and others

Tasks

- O Science topics divided up between WG4 writing group
- Writers use existing resources to draft science case: total of ca. 100 pages
- Writers contact other researchers (e.g. YOU) to check / extend existing studies
 - O Contributions welcomed on specific topics!
 - Author list of DSD drawn selectively from Science Team (i.e. YOU)
- First draft hoped for by November 2010 for EG
 General Meeting ambitious timeline

Summary

- O Lots of exciting science presented on several topics
 - Fundamental physics
 - Astrophysics
 - Cosmology
- O ET DesignStudyDocument will summarize this to motivate building ET (and other 3G detectors)
- WG4 writing group will collect and present ET science studies
- Resulting Science case in DSD to be seen as collaborative effort of Science Team

