Ears Wide Open Observing the Universe with 3rd Generation Ground-Based Detectors

B.S. Sathyaprakash Credits: Einstein Telescope Science Team

Science with GW

Talks on Thu by Hendry and Mours

- Was Einstein right?
 - · ★ Is the nature of gravitational radiation as predicted by Einstein?
 - Is Einstein theory the correct theory of gravity?
 - Are black holes in nature black holes of GR?
 - Are there naked singularities?
- Unsolved problems in astrophysics
 - ★ What is the nature of gravitational collapse?
 - ★ What is the origin of gamma ray bursts?
 - What is the structure of neutron stars and other compact objects?
- Cosmology
 - ★ How did massive black holes at galactic nuclei form and evolve?
 - What phase transitions took place in the early Universe?
- Fundamental questions
 - What were the physical conditions at the big bang?
 - What is dark energy?
 - Are there really ten spatial dimensions?

Expected Future Sensitivities













Expected Annual Coalescence Rates

- Rates quoted are mean of the distribution; In a 95% confidence interval, rates uncertain by 3 orders of magnitude
- Rates are quoted for
 - · ★ Binary Neutron Stars (BNS)
 - · Binary Black Boles (BBH)
 - · ★ Neutron Star-Black Hole binaries (NS-BH)

	BNS	NS-BH	BBH
Initial LIGO (2002-06)	0.02	0.006	0.009
Advanced LIGO x12 sensitivity (2014+)	40	10	20
Einstein Telescope	Millions	100,000	Millions

Astrophysics

Astrophysics

- Unveiling progenitors of short-hard GRBs
 - Short-hard GRBs are believed to be triggered by merging NS-NS and NS-BH
- Understanding Supernovae
 - Astrophysics of gravitational collapse and accompanying supernova?
- Evolutionary paths of compact binaries
 - Evolution of compact binaries involves complex astrophysics
 - Initial mass function, stellar winds, kicks from supernova, common envelope phase
- Finding why pulsars glitch and magnetars flare
 - What causes sudden excursions in pulsar spin frequencies and what is behind ultra high-energy transients of EM radiation in magnetars
 - Could reveal the composition and structure of neutron star cores
- Ellipticity of neutron stars
 - Mountains of what size can be supported on neutron stars?
- ✤ NS spin frequencies in LMXBs
 - ✤ Why are spin frequencies of neutron stars in low-mass X-ray binaries bounded
- Onset/evolution of relativistic instabilities
 - CFS instability and r-modes



Supernovae

- Standard candles of astronomy
 - Our knowledge of the expansion rate of the Universe at redshift of z=1 comes from SNe
- Produce dust and affect evolution of galaxies
 - Heavy elements are only produced in SNe
- They are precursors to formation of neutron stars and black holes
 - The most compact objects in the Universe
- SNe cores are laboratories of complex physical phenomena
 - Most branches of physics and astrophysics needed in modelling
 - General relativity, nuclear physics, relativistic magnetohydrodynamics, turbulence, neutrino viscosity and transport, ...
- Insolved problem: what is the mechanism of shock revival?

Core Collapse SNe



Evolved Massive Star

- Energy reservoir
 - *€* few x 10⁵³ erg
- Explosion energy
 - **≥** 10⁵¹ erg

- Time frame for explosion
 - · 300 1500 ms after bounce
- Formation of black hole
 - At baryonic mass ≥ 1.8-2.5 M

Accretion Induced Collapse



White Dwarf (evolved low-mass star)

- Collapse of accreting, probably rotating White Dwarfs
 - Neutrino-driven or magnetorotational explosion
- Explosion probably weak, subluminous

- Might not be seen in optical
- Potential birth site of magnetars - highly (10¹⁵- 10¹⁶
 G) magnetized neutron stars

SNe Rate in ET

- ET sensitive to SNe up to 5 Mpc Could observe one SN once in few years
- Coincident observation with neutrino detectors

Initial LIGO

ET

100

Enhanced LIGO

Advanced LIGO

- Might be allow measurement neutrino masses
- Plots show the spectra of SNe at IO Kpc for two different models

f (Hz)



Sunday, 16 May 2010

 10^{-20}

 10^{-21}

-22 10^{-}

 10^{-23}

10

(at 10 kpc)

 $\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{char}}$

Pulsar Glitches

- Pulsars have fairly stable rotation rates:
 - However, observe the secular increase in pulse period
- Glitches are sudden d.ps in the rotation period
 - Vela shows glitches once every few years
- Could be the result of transfer of angular momentum from core to crust
 - At some critical lag rotation rate superfluid core couples to the curst imparting energy to the crust

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Delta J \sim I_* \Delta \Omega & \Delta E = \Delta J \Omega_{\rm lag} \\ \Delta \Omega / \Omega \sim 10^{-6} \\ \Delta E \ \sim \ 10^{-13} \text{-} 10^{-11} \, {\rm M}_{\odot} c^2 \end{array}$





ΙΛ

h_o,

NS Normal Mode Oscillations

- Sudden jolt due to a glitch, and superfluid vortex unpinning, could cause oscillations of the core, emitting gravitational waves
 - These normal mode oscillations have characteristic frequencies and damping times that depend on the equation-of-state
- Detecting and measuring normal modes could reveal the equation-of-state of neutron stars and their internal structure



Accreting Neutron Stars

- Spin frequencies of accreting NS seems to be stalled below 700 Hz
 - Well below the break-up speed
- What could be the reason for this stall?
 - Balance of accretion torque with GW back reaction torque
- Could be explained if ellipticity is ~ 10⁻⁸
 - Could be induced by mountains or relativistic instabilities, e.g. r-modes





pulses & burst oscillations

Sensitivity to Accreting NS



GRB Progenitors

- Intense flashes of gammarays:
 - Most luminous EM source since the Big Bang
 - X-ray, UV and optical afterglows
- Bimodal distribution of durations
 - Short GRBs
 - \therefore Duration: T₉₀ < 2 s
 - · Mean redshift of 0.5
 - ✤ Long GRBs
 - \therefore Duration T₉₀ > 2 s
 - ↔ Higher z, track Star Form. Rate.



- Long GRBs
 - Core-collapse SNe, GW emission not well understood
 - Could emit burst of GW
- Short GRBs
 - Could be the end state of the evolution of compact binaries
 - ·⊱ BNS, NS-BH

GRBs in ET

- Short-hard GRBs might be detectable at redshift z=2
- An ET network could measure the binary orientation, masses, spins, and help build better models
- Should be possible to shed light on GRB progenitors





Cosmology

Cosmology

- Cosmography
 - Hubble parameter, dark matter and dark energy densities, dark energy EoS w, variation of w with z
- Black hole seeds
 - Black hole seeds could be intermediate mass BH
 - Hierarchical growth of central engines of BH
- Dipole anisotropy in the Hubble parameter
 - The Hubble parameter will be "slightly" different in different directions due to the local flow of the Milkyway
- Anisotropic cosmologies
 - In an anisotropic Universe the distribution of H on the sky should show residual quadrupole and higher-order anisotropies
- Primordial gravitational waves
 - Quantum fluctuations in the early Universe could produce a stochastic b/g
- Production of GW during early Universe phase transitions
 - Phase transitions, pre-heating, re-heating, etc., could produce detectable stochastic GW

Luminosity Distance Vs Redshift



Cosmological parameters

• Luminosity distance Vs. red shift depends on a number of cosmological parameters H_0 , Ω_M , Ω_b , Ω_Λ , w, etc.

$$D_L(z) = \frac{c(1+z)}{H_0} \int_0^z \frac{dz}{\left[\Omega_M (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda (1+z)^{3(1+w)}\right]^{1/2}}$$

- Einstein Telescope will detect 1000's of compact binary mergers for which the source can be identified (e.g. GRB) and red-shift measured.
- A fit to such observations can determine the cosmological parameters to better than a few percent.

Gravity's Standard Sirens

- To measure the luminosity distance to a source we need its apparent and absolute luminosities
- Gravitational wave observations of compact binary inspirals can measure both
 - \cdot Apparent luminosity this is GW strain in our detector $^{h\,\propto}$
 - Absolute luminosity this rate at which frequency changes
- Therefore, binary black hole inspirals are selfcalibrating standard sirens
- However, GW observations alone cannot determine the red-shift to a source
- Joint gravitational-wave and optical observations can facilitate a new cosmological tool

Measuring Dark Energy and Dark Matter





Hierarchical Growth of Black Holes in Galactic Nuclei



Initially small black holes may grow by hierarchical merger

ET could observe seed black holes if they are of order 1000 solar mass

Probing Demography of Black Hole Seeds

Class. Quantum Grav. 26 (2009) 094027

K G Arun et al



IMBH Event Rates in ET



Stochastic Backgrounds

Primordial background

- Quantum fluctuations produce a background GW that is amplified by the background gravitational field
- Phase transitions in the Early Universe
 - Cosmic strings kinks can form and "break" producing a burst of gravitational waves

Astrophysical background

 A population of Galactic white-dwarf binaries produces a background above instrumental noise in LISA

Today 14 billion years Slide from Shellard Life on earth A brief history Acceleration 11 billion years Dark energy dominate Solar system forms Star formation peak the Universe Galaxy formation era Earliest visible galaxies 700 million year Recombination Atoms form 400,000 years CMB $f < 3 \times 10^{-17} h$ Hz probes 300,000yrs $< t_e < 14$ Gyrs Relic radiation decouples (CMB) 5.000 years Matter domination Onset of gravitational collapse Nucleosynthesis Light elements created - D, He, Li Nuclear fusion begins Pulsars $f \sim 10^{-8}$ Hz probe $t_e \sim 10^{-4}$ s ($T \sim 50$ MeV) Ouark-hadron transition Protons and neutrons formed Electroweak transition LISA $f \sim 10^{-3}$ Hz probes $t_e \sim 10^{-14}$ s ($T \sim 10$ TeV) Electromagnetic and weak nuclear forces first differentiate ET $f \sim 10$ Hz probes $t_{e} \sim 10^{-20}$ s ($T \sim 10^{6}$ GeV) Supersymmetry breaking Axions etc.? LIGO $f \sim 100 \text{ Hz}$ probes $t_e \sim 10^{-24} \text{s} (T \sim 10^8 \text{GeV})$ Grand unification transition Electroweak and strong nuclear forces differentiate Inflation (Planck scale $f \sim 10^{11}$ Hz has $t_e \sim 10^{-43}$ s ($T \sim 10^{19}$ GeV) Quantum gravity wall Spacetime description breaks down

Landscape of Stochastic GW in ET



Fundamental Physics

Fundamental Physics

Properties of gravitational waves

- Testing GR beyond the quadrupole formula
 - Binary pulsars consistent with quadrupole formula but they cannot measure the properties of GW
- How many polarizations?
 - In Einstein's theory only two polarizations; a scalar-tensor theory could have six
- Do gravitational waves travel at the speed of light?
 - * There are strong motivations from string theory to consider massive gravitons

EoS of dark energy

- GW from inspiralling binaries are standard sirens
- EoS of supra-nuclear matter
 - Signature of EoS in GW emitted when neutron stars merge
- Black hole no-hair theorem and cosmic censorship
 - Are BH (candidates) of nature BH of general relativity?
- Merger dynamics of spinning black hole binaries

Do Gravitons Have Mass?

- Coincident observation of a supernova and the associated gravitational radiation can be used to constrain the speed of gravitational waves to a fantastic degree:
- If Δt is the time difference in the arrival times of GW and optical radiation and D is the distance to the source then the fractional difference in the speeds is

$$\frac{\Delta v}{c} = \frac{\Delta t}{D/c} \simeq 10^{-14} \left(\frac{\Delta t}{1 \text{sec}}\right) \left(\frac{D}{1 \text{Mpc}}\right)$$

Should also be possible to constrain the mass of the graviton as they alter GW phasing of inspiral waveform due to dispersion of gravitational waves; no EM counterpart needed

Bound on λ_g as a function of total mass

 Limits based on GW observations will be five orders-ofmagnitude better than solar system limits

 Still not as good as (model-dependent) limits based on dynamics of galaxy clusters



Will (1998); Berti, Buonanno and Will (2006); Arun and Will (2009)

Bounds on Brans-Dickie Theory from ET



```
40
```

Testing GR by observing non-linear effects

- Binary inspiral waveform depends on many post-Newtonian coefficients
 - $\psi_0, \psi_2, \psi_{3, ...}$
 - They correspond to different physical effects, e.g. GW tails
- In the case of non-spinning binaries Ψ₀, Ψ₂, Ψ₃,... depend on just the two masses m₁ and m₂
- By assuming they are all dependent one can check to see if GR is the correct theory

Gravitational wave tails



Blanchet and Schaefer (1994)

What will we see if GR is not the correct theory? Effect of changing the coefficients ψ_3 and ψ_{51} by 5% on the test. System: $[2-20](M_{\odot})$ System: $[2-20](M_{\odot})$ 0.23 0.23 0.22 0.22 ψ_{3mod} ψ_{5lmod} Ψ_{51} ψ_3 0.21 0.21 $m_2^{\rm X} 10^1 ({\rm M_{\odot}})$ ψ, 0.2 0.2 ψ_{2} 0.19 0.19 Ψ_0 Ψ_0 0.18 0.18 Mishra, et al arXiv:1005.0304 0.17∟ 1.9 0.17∟ 1.9 2.1 2.1 2 2 $m_1 x 10^1 (M_{\odot})$ $m_1 x 10^1 (M_{\odot})$ 42

How well can ET measure non-linear effects?

Model=RWF; q_m =0.1; D_L =300Mpc; ET-B; F_{low} =1Hz;



Mishra, et al arXiv:1005.0304

Ongoing 3G Research

Trade studies

- Optimization with respect to low frequency sensitivity, detector location and optical topology
 - How well can we localize the source, measure orientation and polarization, ...
 - What physics does the low frequency window enable?

ET mock data challenge

- There will be far too many sources
 - How good are current algorithms in digging signals out of noise and extracting the science from ET observations?
- A month's worth of mock data to be released soon

Credits

- Patrick Sutton
- Christian Ott
- Jonathan Gair
- Chris Van Den Broeck
- Sukanta Bose
- Richard O'Shaughnessy
- 🕈 Tania Regimbau
- Thomas Dent
- James Clark
- Gareth Jones
- Alberto Vecchio
- 👌 John Veitch
- Craig Robinson

- Andrew Melatos
- Eric Chassande-Mottin
- Pau Amaro-Seoane
- Nils Andersson
- 🕆 K.G.Arun
- Lucia Santamaria
- Kostas Kokkotas
- Mark Hannam
- Sascha Husa
- 🕈 Badri Krishan
- Joceylyn Read
- Luciano Rezzolla

• 🍝 ·