

Compact binary coalescence events and Einstein Telescope

Sukanta Bose, Richard O'Shaughnessy, B.S. Sathyaprakash,
Chris Van Den Broeck






Pisa, November 2008

Overview


- Some assumptions about ET
- Compact binary coalescence as seen in ET
- Measuring the mass function of neutron stars and black holes
- Constraining inspiral models for GRBs
- Pointing accuracies
- Cosmology: Using inspirals as standard candles

Some assumptions about ET

- Provisional noise curve
- 3 interferometers in equilateral triangle
- 30 km total tunnel length



Compact binary inspiral signals as seen in ET




What can we learn?

Some questions we can hope to address:

- What is the **mass distribution of compact objects**, and **how has this distribution evolved** over cosmological timescales?
- In particular, what is the **mass range for neutron stars**?
- What is the **lowest mass a black hole can have**?
(Is there an intermediate state between neutron stars and black holes?)
- What is the **mechanism behind gamma ray bursts (GRBs)**?
- Can we use compact binary inspiral events as **standard sirens** and use them to do cosmology?

What is the mass range of neutron stars?


- Let one object in a binary be a neutron star; **how well can we measure its mass** as a function of the other object's mass?
- **Mass measurement better than a percent out to $z \sim 1$**
- **Secondary object needs to be a black hole**
- **Asymmetric binaries:** Can map the mass distribution out to redshift of several




Weighing black holes over cosmological distances

- Estimation of mass parameters at a distance of 3 Gpc

\log_{10} of percentage error in chirp mass




\log_{10} of percentage error in η



What is the mechanism behind GRBs?

- Some short, hard GRBs could be caused by the **inspiral of two neutron stars, or a neutron star and a black hole**
- **Beamed gamma ray emission perpendicular to the inspiral plane**
- **Constrain such models by:**
 - Measuring the **promptness of gravitational radiation** compared to the gamma radiation
 - Constraining the **opening angles of the beams** by measuring inclination angle?




Pointing accuracies for ET as part of a network


- If ET part of a network of at least three detectors, will be able to infer **sky position** from **differences in times of arrival**
- For **ET together with two L-shaped detectors** with AdvLIGO or ET noise curve, typical pointing accuracies of **a few square degrees**
- Coalescences involving a neutron star will have **EM counterparts**:
 - Strongly beamed **GRB-like signature**
 - Infrared/optical **afterglow**→ Possibility of finding the host galaxy
- Importance of pointing accuracy:
 - Even without EM counterparts, study whether the **spatial distribution** of binary coalescences follows distribution of visible matter
 - **Definitive identification of (some or all) short GRBs** as being compact binary coalescence events
 - Use of binary coalescence as “standard sirens”

Pointing accuracies

- **Example:** An ET located in Cascina and two L-shaped ifos with ET PSD at Livingston and Hanford and a $(10,20)M_{\text{sun}}$ system



Sky position accuracy in $\log_{10}(\text{deg}^2)$



SNR as a function of sky position

Determining the dark energy equation of state

- From supernovae studies: Universe appears to be accelerating
- Possible explanations:
 - General relativity inadequate at large length scales
 - Cosmological constant
 - Dark energy
- Dark energy:
 - New form of matter with positive density, negative pressure
 - FRW Universe, model dark energy as perfect fluid:
$$p = w \rho \quad w = w(z) \text{ equation of state parameter}$$
 - If $w = -1$ then cosmological constant
 - Current constraints from 5 year WMAP and supernovae studies:
$$-1.11 < w < -0.86$$
 - Following Schutz '86: Use inspiral GW events as “standard sirens”

Determining the dark energy equation of state

- Compact binary coalescences as “standard sirens”:
 - From the gravitational-wave signal, get luminosity distance D_L
 - If sky position can be obtained, identify host galaxy and get redshift z
 - Relationship $D_L(z)$ depends sensitively on cosmological parameters

$$H_0, \Omega_m, \Omega_d, w$$

- For simplicity, assume H_0, Ω_m, Ω_d known
- Estimate uncertainty on D_L using Fisher matrix formalism
- From error propagation formula:


$$\Delta w = |\partial D_L / \partial w|^{-1} \Delta D_L$$

where $|\partial D_L / \partial w|^{-1}$ can be estimated from redshift and choices for $H_0, \Omega_m, \Omega_d, w$

Determining the dark energy equation of state

- Distance errors a few percent
- Individual errors in w large
- But: large numbers of sources
- Assume:
 - $(1.4, 10)M_{\text{sun}}$ inspirals
 - Event rate 1 yr^{-1} in 300 Mpc radius
 - Each has identifiable host
 - w doesn't vary too much within bins of $\Delta z \sim 0.1$
 - Errors decrease with $\sqrt{n_{\text{events}}}$ where n_{events} number of events per bin

→ Trace evolution of $w(z)$ at the 1% level



Summary and future work

Using inspiral events:

- Find out what is the **mass distribution of compact objects**, and **how this distribution has evolved** over cosmological timescales
- Study the **mass range for neutron stars**
- Find out the **mechanism behind short gamma ray bursts**
- Use compact binary inspiral events as **standard sirens** to do cosmology

Future work:

- What about merger and ringdown?
- How can we constrain detailed inspiral models for GRBs?
- What do NS and BH mass distributions tell us about progenitor channels?
- More in-depth treatment of compact binary coalescences as standard sirens?